IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

WRIT PETITION NO. 343/2005

Miss Kaku Mani Kataky. ..... Petitioner.

V/s.

State of Goa and others. ..... Respondents.

Mr. T. George Jonh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. S. S. Kantak, Advocate General with Ms. R. Chodankar, Addl. Govt. Advocate for respondents No.1 to 3.

Ms. A. A. Agni, Advocate for respondent No.4.

CORAM : SMT. RANJANA P. DESSAI &
N.A. BRITTO, JJ.

DATE : 13TH JUNE, 2006.

P.C.

The petitioner in this petition prays that the appointment of respondent No.5 and allotting to her two lectures per week be set aside and the petitioner be allotted the full work load of four or five lectures per week in Business and Industrial Law. We have heard the learned Counsel for the petitioner. He made three points. He submitted that the constitution of the local selection committee was in violation of the Goa University Act. He further submitted that respondent No.5 did not have requisite qualifications. She is selected in violation of the Goa University Act. He further submitted that

respondent No.6 was occupying place in the local selection committee in violation of the Goa University Act.

2. In our opinion, the present petition cannot be entertained. The allegations made in the petition pertain to the academic year 2005-06. That having come to an end, the petition has become infructuous. It is pertinent to note that both, the petitioner and respondent No.5 were selected. Both were to share lectures. The grievance of the petitioner is as regards allotment of lectures. Such a grievance cannot be entertained as the petitioner has no locus standi to challenge the allotment of lectures. Besides, it appears that on account of this grievance, the petitioner never attended the College for the academic year 2005-06. We are also informed that for the current academic year an advertisement has already been issued and the petitioner has not applied. In the circumstances, the petition is rejected.

SMT. RANJANA P. DESSAI, J.

N.A. BRITTO, J.

ssm.